

March & April 2022

Highlights from the CISG Database

For more on recent updates check here.

Contact us at cisq@law.pace.edu



<u>Turkish court</u> mis- interpreted the application of the CISG due to the misinterpretation of the Turkish translation of the CISG text.



<u>U.S. circuit court (11th circuit)</u> ruled that the exclusion of the CISG in favor of state law did not amount to the application of state arbitration laws instead of the Federal Arbitration Act (FAA).



Dutch courts published over 10 decisions related to the CISG over the past two months. These decisions mainly address, *inter alia*, the inclusion of standard terms and conditions. Some other decisions to note:

- Dutch appellate court held that horses to be used for international dressage sports were to be deemed as use for commercial purposes and as such, CISG applied.
- ✓ <u>Dutch district court</u> held that invoking CISG in a subsequent hearing concerning the same claim and facts was *res judicata*.
- <u>Dutch district court</u> rendered a decision thoroughly addressing fundamental breach and warranties.



Federal Swiss court (Bundesgericht) decision has recently been translated into English.

- ❖ Article on changed circumstances and COVID-19 addresses the matter from a different angle, it is published in Texas A&M Law Review
- ❖ We invite you all to join the Pace CISG Translation Network